arXiv:1601.06511v1 [math.RT] 25 Jan 2016

ARCHIMEDEAN ZETA INTEGRALS ON $U(n,1)$

BINGCHEN LIN AND DONGWEN LIU

Abstract. For a dual pair of unitary groups with equal size, zeta integrals arising from Rallis inner product formula give the central values of certain automorphic L-functions. In this paper we explicitly calculate archimedean zeta integrals of this type for $U(n,1)$, assuming that the corresponding archimedean component of the automorphic representation is a holomorphic discrete series.

1. Introduction

In order to obtain deep arithmetic applications in the theory of automorphic forms, it is often necessary to have explicit computable results at each place of a number field. This paper is concerned with certain archimedean zeta integrals on unitary groups and central L-values, which arise from theta correspondence of cuspidal automorphic representations. We shall briefly explain the motivation and background of this paper, following [H, HLS, L2].

Let F^+ be a totally real number field, F a totally imaginary quadratic extension of F^+, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{F^+}$ the adele ring of F^+. Let V (resp. V') be a hermitian (resp. skew-hermitian) vector space of dimension $n+1$ over F, and $W = V \otimes_F V'$, a symplectic space over F^+. Fixing an additive character ψ and a complete polarization $W = X \oplus Y$, we have the Schrödinger model of the oscillator representation ω_ψ of $\widetilde{Sp}(W)(\mathbf{A})$, realized on the space $\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbf{A}))$ of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on $X(\mathbf{A})$. Let $G = U(V)$, $G' = U(V')$. By choosing a global splitting character χ of $\mathbf{A}_F^\times / F^\times$ as in [HLS], ω_ψ then defines an oscillator representation $\omega_{V,V',\chi}$ of $G(\mathbf{A}) \times G'(\mathbf{A})$ on $\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbf{A}))$. As usual, for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbf{A}))$ we have the theta lifting $f \mapsto \theta_\phi(f)$ for a cusp form f on $G(F^+)\backslash G(\mathbf{A})$.

Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representations of G, $f \in \pi$, $\tilde{f} \in \pi^\vee$. Let $H = U(V \oplus (-V))$, $i_V : G \times G \hookrightarrow H$ be the natural inclusion, following the doubling method. The Piatetski-Shapiro-Rallis zeta integral is then defined by

$$Z(s, f, \tilde{f}, \varphi, \chi) = \int_{(G\times G)(F^+)\backslash (G\times G)(\mathbf{A})} E(i_V(g,\tilde{g}), s, \varphi, \chi) f(g) \tilde{f}(\tilde{g}) \chi^{-1}\big(\det(\tilde{g})\big) dg d\tilde{g}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $E(\cdot, s, \varphi, \chi)$ is the Eisenstein series on $H(\mathbf{A})$ as in [H, §1], and $\varphi = \varphi(s)$ is a section of a degenerate principal series $I_{n+1}(s, \chi)$ varying in s. This integral converges absolutely for $\mathrm{Re}\, s \gg 0$ and admits an Euler expansion if φ, f and $\tilde{f}$ are factorizable. In this case,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E45; Secondary 11F27, 11F67.

for S a sufficiently large finite set of places of F^+ including archimedean ones, one has

$$Z(s,f,\tilde{f},\varphi,\chi)=\prod_{v\in S}Z(s,f_v,\tilde{f}_v,\varphi_v,\chi_v)d_{n+1}^S(s)^{-1}L^S(s+\frac{1}{2},\pi,St,\chi),$$

where $L^S(s+\frac{1}{2},\pi,St,\chi)$ is the partial L-function of π twisted by χ, attached to $2(n+1)$-dimensional standard representation of the L-group, and $d_{n+1}^S(s)$ is a product of certain partial L-functions attached to the extension F/F^+ as in [H]. Take $\phi=\otimes_v\phi_v\in\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbf{A}))$ and $\varphi=\delta(\phi\otimes\bar{\phi})$ in the notation of [L2, p.182]. Then after proper normalization the *Rallis inner product formula* can be written as

$$\langle\theta_\phi(f),\theta_{\bar{\phi}}(\bar{f})\rangle=\prod_{v\in S}Z(0,f_v,\bar{f}_v,\varphi_v,\chi_v)d_n^S(0)^{-1}L^S(\frac{1}{2},\pi,St,\chi),\tag{1.2}$$

The central L-value $L(\frac{1}{2},\pi,St,\chi)$ is of great arithmetic interest and it is quite useful to have explicit local value at each place. In [HLS] under certain assumptions it is shown that $L^S(\frac{1}{2},\pi,St,\chi)\geq 0$ for any finite set S of places of F^+. As explained in [L2, §2], one has

$$Z(0,f_v,\bar{f}_v,\varphi_v,\chi_v)=\int_{G(F_v^+)}(\omega_{\chi_v}(g)\phi_v,\phi_v)(\pi_v(g)f_v,f_v)dg,$$

which integrates matrix coefficient of the oscillator representation against that of π_v. From now on we assume that v is real, π_v is in the discrete series, ϕ_v is in the space of joint harmonics, and we replace $(\pi_v(g)f_v,f_v)$ by a canonical matrix coefficient $\psi_{\pi_v}(g)$ of π_v (see Section 4). The aim of this paper is to explicitly compute the archimedean zeta integral

$$\int_{G(F_v^+)}(\omega_{\chi_v}(g)\phi_v,\phi_v)\cdot\psi_{\pi_v}(g)dg\tag{1.3}$$

in the case that $G(F_v^+)$ is the real unitary group $U(n,1)$ and π_v is a holomorphic discrete series. We mention that the cases $U(1,1)$ and $U(2,1)$ were solved completely in [Lin] and [Liu] respectively. However for $U(n,1)$ when π_v is a general discrete series, this problem seems to out of reach at the moment.

The main results of this paper can be formulated as follows. Fix an additive character ψ of $\mathbf{R}$. Let V be an $(n+1)$-dimensional complex Hermitian space, and let G be the unitary group attached to V. For each complex skew-Hermitian space V', the group G is a subgroup of the real symplectic group $Sp(V\otimes_{\mathbf{C}}V')$ as usual. Define the metaplectic double cover $\widetilde{G}$ of G to be the double cover of G induced by the metaplectic double cover $\widetilde{Sp}(V\otimes_{\mathbf{C}}V')\to Sp(V\otimes_{\mathbf{C}}V')$. This is independent of V'. Let π_λ be the genuine discrete series representation of $\widetilde{G}$ with Harish-Chandra parameter $\lambda:=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{n+1})$. By theta dichotomy for real unitary groups [P] and a result in [L1] on discrete spectrum of local theta correspondence, up to isometry there exists a unique $(n+1)$-dimensional skew-Hermtian space V' such that $\pi_\lambda^\vee$ occurs as a subrepresentation of $\omega_{V,V',\chi}$. Let $P_\lambda:\omega_{V,V',\chi}\to\omega_{V,V',\chi}$ be the orthogonal projection to the $\pi_\lambda^\vee$-isotypic subspace. Fix a maximal compact subgroup K of G, which induces a maximal compact subgroup $\widetilde{K}$ of $\widetilde{G}$. Denote by $\tau_\lambda^\vee$ the lowest

$\widetilde{K}$-type of $\pi_\lambda^\vee$. Then there is a positive number $c_{\psi,V,\lambda}$ such that

$$\|P_\lambda(\phi)\| = c_{\psi,V,\lambda}\|\phi\|$$

for all ϕ in the $\tau_\lambda^\vee$-isotypic subspace of the space of joint harmonics (with respect to K and an arbitrary maximal compact subgroup of the unitary group attached to V'). The constant $c_{\psi,V,\lambda}$ is 1 when either V or V' is anisotropic. The main result of this paper is equivalent to an explicit calculation of $c_{\psi,V,\lambda}$ when V is of signature $(n,1)$, ψ is chosen to be $\psi_a : t \mapsto e^{2\pi i a t}$ for some $a > 0$, and π_λ is holomorphic. In this case we list the explicit values of $c_{\psi,V,\lambda}$ below (Corollary 7.3).

Theorem 1.1. *Follow above notations, assume that V has signature $(n,1)$, $\psi = \psi_a$ for some $a > 0$ and π_λ is holomorphic. Let*

$$\Lambda = \lambda + (-\frac{n}{2}+1, -\frac{n}{2}+2, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}, -\frac{n}{2}).$$

Let α's, β's, γ, p,q below stand for non-negative integers with $p+q = n+1$. Then
(i) if $\Lambda = [(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) + \det^{-(1-n)/2}] \otimes [\gamma + \det^{(1-n)/2}]$ with $\alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n \geq \gamma + 2$, then

$$c^2_{\psi,V,\lambda} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_i - i + n - 1 - \gamma}{\alpha_i - i + n};$$

(ii) if $\Lambda = [(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{q-1},-\beta_p,\ldots,-\beta_1) + \det^{-(p-q)/2}] \otimes [-\gamma + \det^{(p-q)/2}]$ with $\alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{q-1} \geq -\beta_p \geq \cdots \geq -\beta_1 \geq -\gamma + 2p$, then

$$c^2_{\psi,V,\lambda} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma + i - \delta_i - 2p}{\gamma + i - p},$$

where $(\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_n) := (\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_p,-\alpha_{q-1},\ldots,-\alpha_1)$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we give the pair of weights appearing in the local theta correspondence. Section 3 describes the structure and measure of the real Lie group $U(n,1)$. Section 4 deals with the canonical matrix coefficient of a holomorphic discrete series following [G]. Sections 5 and 6 are concerned with the matrix coefficient of oscillator representation, which is calculated using joint harmonics. In section 7 we combine previous results and apply the technique of [G] to evaluate the zeta integral.

We remark that the method of this paper should be applicable to general $U(p,q)$, at least when one of the components of the lowest $\widetilde{K}$-type is one-dimensional. Furthermore, it also brings us some enlightenment to study certain period integrals for unitary groups.

Notations. Let 1_n and 0_n be the $n \times n$ identity matrix and zero matrix respectively. Let $1_{p,q}$ stand for the square matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1_p & 0 \\ 0 & -1_q \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this paper, $U(p,q)$ is the real unitary group of the hermitian or skew-hermitian form represented by the matrix $1_{p,q}$ or $i1_{p,q}$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, and $Sp_{2N}(\mathbf{R})$ is the isometry group

of the real symplectic form represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_N \\ -1_N & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a complex matrix g, let ${}^t g$ be its transpose, and $g^* = {}^t\bar{g}$ be the complex conjugate transpose. For a field k, $M_n(k)$ is the set of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in k. We usually regard vectors in k^n as column vectors, unless otherwise specified. For $u, v \in k^n$, as usual $u \cdot v$ stands for their dot product, and $|u|^2 = u \cdot \bar{u}$ if $k = \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$.

Acknowledgement. Both authors would like to thank Professor J.-S. Li for suggesting this problem. This joint work was started during the workshop "Automorphic Forms, Geometry and Representation Theory" held at Zhejiang University in July 2015. Both authors thank the hospitality of the organizers.

2. Pair of weights

Let $G = U(n,1)$ be the unitary group of a complex hermitian space of signature $(n,1)$. The absolute root system of $G_{\mathbf{C}} = GL(n+1, \mathbf{C})$ is of type A_n. Fix the maximal compact subgroup $K = U(n) \times U(1)$, the set of compact positive roots $\Delta_c^+ = \{e_i - e_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$, and the set of positive roots $\Delta^+ = \{e_i - e_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1\}$ that contains Δ_c^+.

We consider Δ_c^+-dominant Harish-Chandra parameters of genuine discrete series of $\widetilde{G}$. Those of holomorphic discrete series are in fact Δ^+-dominant, i.e. strictly decreasing $(n+1)$-tuples $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1})$ of half-integers. The corresponding lowest $\widetilde{K}$-type is given by the Blattner parameter

$$\Lambda = \lambda + \rho - 2\rho_c = \lambda + (-\frac{n}{2} + 1, -\frac{n}{2} + 2, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}, -\frac{n}{2}), \tag{2.1}$$

where ρ (resp. ρ_c) is the half sum of all positive (resp. compact positive) roots.

Consider the dual pair $(G, G') = (U(n,1), U(p,q)) \hookrightarrow Sp_{2N}(\mathbf{R})$, where $p+q = n+1$ and $N = (n+1)^2$. Fix the additive character $\psi : t \mapsto e^{2\pi i t}$ of $\mathbf{R}$, and consider the oscillator representation ω_ψ of $\widetilde{Sp}_{2N}(\mathbf{R})$. Take an irreducible $\widetilde{K} \times \widetilde{K}'$-module

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda^\vee, \Lambda'} \cong \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee} \otimes \sigma_{\Lambda'}$$

that occurs in the space of joint harmonics of ω_ψ, where $\Lambda^\vee$ and Λ' are the highest weights of $\sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}$ and $\sigma_{\Lambda'}$ respectively. It is well-known that $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda^\vee, \Lambda'}$ occurs with multiplicity one, and moreover $\Lambda^\vee$ and Λ' determine each other. Let σ_Λ be the contragradient of $\sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}$, which has highest weight Λ.

Assume that σ_Λ is the lowest $\widetilde{K}$-type of the holomorphic discrete series π_λ of $\widetilde{G}$ so that λ and Λ are related by (2.1), and that the theta lifting $\pi' = \theta(\pi_\lambda^\vee)$ of $\pi_\lambda^\vee$ is a non-zero discrete series of $\widetilde{G}'$.

The Harish-Chandra parameter of the anti-holomorphic discrete series $\pi_\lambda^\vee$ is $\lambda^\vee = (-\lambda_n, \ldots, -\lambda_1, -\lambda_{n+1})$, and one has

$$\Lambda^\vee = \lambda^\vee + (-\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{n}{2} + 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{n}{2}).$$

Let a and b be the number of non-negative entries in $(-\lambda_n,\dots,-\lambda_1)$ and $(-\lambda_{n+1})$ respectively. Then by [L1], above assumption requires that

$$\lambda_n > \lambda_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad p = a - b + 1.$$

Let us write

$$\Lambda^\vee = [(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_l,0,\dots,0,-\alpha_k,\dots,-\alpha_1) + \det{}^{(p-q)/2}] \otimes [m + \det{}^{-(p-q)/2}], \tag{2.2}$$

where $\alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_k > 0$, $\beta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \beta_l > 0$. Then

$$\Lambda = [(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k,0,\dots,0,-\beta_l,\dots,-\beta_1) + \det{}^{-(p-q)/2}] \otimes [-m + \det{}^{(p-q)/2}].$$

We have two cases.

Case (i): $b = 0$. Then $\lambda_n > \lambda_{n+1} > 0$, which implies that $a = p - 1 = 0$ hence $p = 1$, $q = n$, i.e. $G' = U(1,n)$. The first entry of $\Lambda^\vee$ is

$$-\lambda_n - \frac{n}{2} < \frac{p-q}{2} = \frac{1-n}{2},$$

which by (2.2) implies that $l = 0$, $k = n$. Let

$$\gamma := -m = \lambda_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} \geq 0.$$

By the formulas for the pair of weights $\Lambda^\vee$, Λ' in [L1], we see that

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda^\vee = [(-\alpha_n,\dots,-\alpha_1) + \det{}^{(1-n)/2}] \otimes [-\gamma + \det{}^{-(1-n)/2}], \\ \Lambda' = [-\gamma + \det{}^{(n-1)/2}] \otimes [(-\alpha_n,\dots,-\alpha_1) + \det{}^{-(n-1)/2}]. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

The condition $\lambda_n > \lambda_{n+1}$ reads

$$\alpha_n \geq \gamma + 2.$$

Case (ii): $b = 1$. Then $\lambda_{n+1} \leq 0$, $a = p$. Let

$$\gamma := m = -\lambda_{n+1} + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{p-q}{2} > 0.$$

Again by [L1] we have

$$\Lambda' = [(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_l,0,\dots,0) + \det{}^{(n-1)/2}] \otimes [(\gamma,0,\dots,0,-\alpha_k,\dots,-\alpha_1) + \det{}^{(1-n)/2}].$$

Note that the obvious constraints $l \leq p$, $k+1 \leq q$ apply. For convenience let us define $\beta_i = 0$, $\alpha_j = 0$ for $l < i \leq p$ and $k < j \leq q-1$, so that we may write

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda^\vee = [(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_p,-\alpha_{q-1},\dots,-\alpha_1) + \det{}^{(p-q)/2}] \otimes [\gamma + \det{}^{-(p-q)/2}], \\ \Lambda' = [(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_p) + \det{}^{(n-1)/2}] \otimes [(\gamma,-\alpha_{q-1},\dots,-\alpha_1) + \det{}^{-(n-1)/2}]. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

The condition $\lambda_n > \lambda_{n+1}$ reads

$$-\beta_1 \geq -\gamma + 2p.$$

3. Structure of G

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(n,1)$ be the Lie algebra of G, and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition with respect to the Cartan involution $\theta(X) = -X^*$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$, which is one-dimensional and spanned by, say,

$$H = E_{1,n+1} + E_{n+1,1},$$

where E_{ij} is the elementary matrix with 1 on the (i,j)-entry and 0 everywhere else. Let

$$a_t = \exp(tH) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & 0 & \sinh t \\ 0 & 1_{n-1} & 0 \\ \sinh t & 0 & \cosh t \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Cartan decomposition of G is $G = C \cdot K \cong C \times K$, where

$$C = \{g \in G : g = g^* \text{ is positive-definite hermitian}\}.$$

We normalize the measure on $K = U(n) \times U(1)$ so that the masses of $U(n)$ and $U(1)$ are both equal to 1. The set C can be parametrized by

$$D_{n,1} \to C, \quad z \mapsto h_z = \begin{pmatrix} (1_n - zz^*)^{-1/2} & z(1-z^*z)^{-1/2} \\ (1-z^*z)^{-1/2}z^* & (1-z^*z)^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

where $D_{n,1}$ is the classical domain

$$D_{n,1} = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n : 1_n - zz^* \text{ is positive definite}\}.$$

G acts on $D_{n,1}$ by generalized fractional linear transformations, and we fix the invariant measure on $D_{n,1}$ to be

$$d^*z = \frac{dz}{(1-z^*z)^{n+1}} = \frac{dz}{\det(1_n - zz^*)^{n+1}},$$

where dz is the product of the usual additive Haar measures.

One may further parametrize $D_{n,1}$ by $z = x\underline{r}y$, where $x \in U(n)$, $y \in U(1)$, and $\underline{r} = {}^t(r, 0, \ldots, 0)$ with $-1 < r < 1$. If we write $r = \tanh t$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, then substituting this parametrization into (3.1) yields

$$h_z = k_z a_t k_z^{-1}, \quad k_z = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y^* \end{pmatrix} \in K. \tag{3.2}$$

4. Holomorphic discrete series

We shall briefly review the treatment in [G]. Recall that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G, and let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ be its complexification. Let

$$\mathfrak{p}_+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{p}_- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} \right\}$$

and $N_\pm = \exp \mathfrak{p}_\pm$. Then one has the Harish-Chandra decomposition

$$G \subset N_+ \cdot K_{\mathbf{C}} \cdot N_- \subset G_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Let $\pi = \pi_\lambda$ be a holomorphic discrete series with lowest K-type $\sigma = \sigma_\Lambda$. In [G] it is shown that the canonical K-conjugation invariant matrix coefficient of π is given by

$$\psi_\pi(g) = \psi_\pi(n_+\theta n_-) = \text{tr } \sigma(\theta) \in \sigma \otimes \sigma \subset \pi \otimes \pi^\vee \subset L^2(G)$$

if $g = n_+\theta n_-$ under the Harish-Chandra decomposition. Here we use the holomorphic extension of σ to $K_{\mathbf{C}}$. We remark that ψ_π is equivalent to the canonical matrix coefficient considered in [F-J, HLS, L1, Liu].

Recall the Cartan decomposition $g = h_z k$. The Harish-Chandra decomposition $h_z = n_z^+ \theta_z n_z^-$ is

$$h_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (1_n - zz^*)^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & (1-z^*z)^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & 0 \\ z^* & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular

$$\theta_z = \begin{pmatrix} (1_n - zz^*)^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & (1-z^*z)^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.1}$$

Then we have

$$\psi_\pi(g) = \psi_\pi(n_z^+ \theta_z k k^{-1} n_z^- k) = \text{tr } \sigma(\theta_z k), \tag{4.2}$$

noting that K normalizes $N_\pm$. Parametrizing $z \in D_{n,1}$ as in Section 3, we may write

$$\theta_z = k_z \theta_t k_z^{-1},$$

where k_z is as in (3.2) and θ_t is the $K_{\mathbf{C}}$-component of a_t under Harish-Chandra decomposition, i.e.

$$\theta_t = \begin{pmatrix} (\cosh t)^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cosh t \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.3}$$

Therefore one may further write

$$\psi_\pi(g) = \text{tr } \sigma(k_z \theta_t k_z^{-1} k) = \text{tr } \sigma(\theta_t k_z^{-1} k k_z). \tag{4.4}$$

Finally we remark that by Corollary of [H-C, Lemma 23.1], the formal degree d_π of a general discrete series $\pi = \pi_\lambda$ is given by

$$d_\pi = C \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n+1} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \tag{4.5}$$

where C is a constant depending on the choice of the Haar measure of G.

5. Fock model

The smooth model ω_ψ^∞ of the oscillator representation of $\widetilde{Sp}_{2N}(\mathbf{R})$ can be realized on the Fock space $\mathscr{F}_N$ of entire functions on $\mathbf{C}^N$ which are square integrable with respect to the hermitian inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\omega = \int_{\mathbf{C}^N} f(z) \overline{g(z)} e^{-\pi |z|^2} dz.$$

The monomials $\left\{\sqrt{\frac{\pi^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!}}z^\alpha, |\alpha|\geq 0\right\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $\mathscr{F}_N$. The Harish-Chandra module ω_ψ^{HC} can be realized as the subspace $\mathscr{P}_N$ of polynomials on $\mathbf{C}^N$.

Following [F], introduce the linear map

(5.1)
$$M_{2N}(\mathbf{R})\to M_{2N}(\mathbf{C}),\quad g=\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ C & D\end{pmatrix}\mapsto g^c=\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}A+D+i(C-B) & A-D+i(C+B)\\ A-D-i(C+B) & A+D-i(C-B)\end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by Sp_{2N}^c the image of $Sp_{2N}(\mathbf{R})$. Let ν be the Fock projective representation of Sp_{2N}^c on $\mathscr{F}_N$. Then for $g^c=\begin{pmatrix}P & Q\\ \overline{Q} & \overline{P}\end{pmatrix}\in Sp_{2N}^c$, up to a factor of ± 1 the operator $\nu(g^c)$ is given by

$$\text{(5.2)}\qquad \begin{cases}\nu(g^c)f(z)=\displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{C}^N}K_{g^c}(z,\bar w)f(w)e^{-\pi|w|^2}dw,\\ K_{g^c}(z,\bar w)=(\det P)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left[\frac{\pi}{2}\left({}^tz\overline{Q}P^{-1}z+2{}^t\bar wP^{-1}z-{}^t\bar wP^{-1}Q\bar w\right)\right].\end{cases}$$

Let $J=1_{n,1}\otimes 1_{p,q}$. We have the embedding

$$\text{(5.3)}\qquad i_G: G\hookrightarrow Sp_{2N}(\mathbf{R}),\quad X+iY\mapsto\begin{pmatrix}X\otimes 1_{n+1} & (Y\otimes 1_{n+1})J\\ -J(Y\otimes 1_{n+1}) & J(X\otimes 1_{n+1})J\end{pmatrix}.$$

In spirit of $\mathbf{C}^N\cong\mathbf{C}^{n+1}\otimes\mathbf{C}^{n+1}\cong M_{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$, it is more convenient to label the variables $z_1,\dots,z_N$ as $z_{11},\dots,z_{1,n+1},\dots,z_{n+1,1},\dots,z_{n+1,n+1}$. For instance, if we write the matrix of variables in the block form

$$\text{(5.4)}\qquad z=(z_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n+1}=\begin{pmatrix}A_{n\times p} & B_{n\times q}\\ C_{1\times p} & D_{1\times q}\end{pmatrix},$$

then from (5.1-5.3), for $k=(x,y)\in K=U(n)\times U(1)$ one has up to ± 1

$$\text{(5.5)}\qquad \omega(k)f(z)=(\det x)^{(p-q)/2}(\det y)^{-(p-q)/2}f\begin{pmatrix}{}^txA & x^{-1}B\\ y^{-1}C & {}^tyD\end{pmatrix}.$$

We need to know the action of $\omega(a_t)$. We use the notation $\underline{z}_i=(z_{i,1},\dots,z_{i,n+1})$, $i=1,\dots,n+1$, so that we can write $f(z)=f(\underline{z}_1,\dots,\underline{z}_{n+1})\in\mathscr{F}_N$. As a preliminary step we have

Lemma 5.1. *For $f(z)\in\mathscr{P}_N$,*

$$\omega(a_t)f(z)=(\cosh t)^{-n-1}\exp\left(\pi(\tanh t)\underline{z}_1\cdot\underline{z}_{n+1}\right)$$
$$\times\int_{\mathbf{C}^{n+1}}f(\underline{w}_1,\underline{z}_2,\dots,\underline{z}_n,(\cosh t)^{-1}\underline{z}_{n+1}-(\tanh t)\bar{\underline{w}}_1)\exp\left(\pi(\cosh t)^{-1}\underline{z}_1\cdot\bar{\underline{w}}_1-\pi|\underline{w}_1|^2\right)d\underline{w}_1.$$

Proof. One can show that

$$i_G(a_t)=\begin{pmatrix}a_t\otimes 1_{n+1} & 0\\ 0 & a_{-t}\otimes 1_{n+1}\end{pmatrix},\quad i_G(a_t)^c=\begin{pmatrix}P_t & Q_t\\ Q_t & P_t\end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$P_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cosh t \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_{n+1}, \quad Q_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sinh t \\ 0 & 0_{n-1} & 0 \\ \sinh t & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_{n+1}.$$

We calculate that

$$ {}^t z Q_t P_t^{-1} z = 2(\tanh t)\underline{z}_1 \cdot \underline{z}_{n+1}, \quad {}^t \bar{w} P_t^{-1} Q_t \bar{w} = 2(\tanh t)\underline{\bar{w}}_1 \cdot \underline{\bar{w}}_{n+1}$$

$$ {}^t \bar{w} P_t^{-1} z = (\cosh t)^{-1}(\underline{z}_1 \cdot \underline{\bar{w}}_1 + \underline{z}_{n+1} \cdot \underline{\bar{w}}_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \underline{z}_i \cdot \underline{\bar{w}}_i.$$

The lemma follows from integrating over $\underline{w}_2, \dots, \underline{w}_{n+1}$ in (5.2) and applying the following formula, which will be used later as well.

$$\int_{\mathbf{C}} z^i \bar{z}^j e^{\pi c \bar{z} - \pi |z|^2} dz = \begin{cases} \dfrac{i!}{(i-j)!} \dfrac{c^{i-j}}{\pi^j} & \text{if } i \geq j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < j, \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

where $i, j \geq 0$ are integers and c is a constant. □

6. Joint harmonics

The notion of joint harmonics was introduced in [Ho]. It is the subspace $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathscr{P}_N$ annihilated by certain second order differential operators from the the centralizers of $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{k}'$ in $\mathfrak{sp}$, under the action of oscillator representation. We refer the readers to [Ho] for the precise definition.

It is known that $\mathcal{H}$ admits a multiplicity free decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus \sigma \otimes \sigma'$$

into irreducible $\widetilde{K} \times \widetilde{K}'$-modules such that σ and σ' determine each other. Moreover, the lowest $\widetilde{K}$- and $\widetilde{K}'$-type of discrete series correspond to each other under this decomposition.

We consider the subspace of joint harmonics $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda^\vee, \Lambda'} \cong \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee} \otimes \sigma_{\Lambda'}$ as in Section 2. The joint highest weight vector of $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda^\vee, \Lambda'}$ can be expressed in terms of principal minors. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let

$$\Delta_i = \det \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & \cdots & z_{1i} \\ & \cdots & \\ z_{i1} & \cdots & z_{ii} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_i' = \det \begin{pmatrix} z_{n-i+1,n-i+2} & \cdots & z_{n-i+1,n+1} \\ & \cdots & \\ z_{n,n-i+2} & \cdots & z_{n,n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

which are determinants of $i \times i$ minors, hence homogeneous polynomials. Then in the two cases of Section 2, we have the following harmonic polynomials of joint highest weight, which are unique up to scalar.

Case (i): We take

$$\phi(z) = \Delta_1'^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \Delta_2'^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_3} \cdots \Delta_n'^{\alpha_n} z_{n+1,1}^{\gamma}.$$

For any $k \in K$, from (5.5) we see that the block C in (5.4), i.e. $z_{n+1,1}$, is the only variable of $\underline{z}_{n+1}$ that appears in $\omega(k)\phi$, but the block A, in particular z_{11}, does not show up in $\omega(k)\phi$. This observation together with Lemma 5.1 and (5.6) gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(a_t k)\phi(z) &= (\cosh t)^{-n-1} \exp\left(\pi(\tanh t)\underline{z}_1 \cdot \underline{z}_{n+1}\right) \omega(k)\phi\left((\cosh t)^{-1}\underline{z}_1, \underline{z}_2, \dots, \underline{z}_n, (\cosh t)^{-1}\underline{z}_{n+1}\right) \\ &= (\cosh t)^{-n-1} \exp\left(\pi(\tanh t)\underline{z}_1 \cdot \underline{z}_{n+1}\right) \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(b_t k)\phi,\end{aligned}$$

where we use the extension of $\sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}$ to $K_{\mathbf{C}}$, and

$$b_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cosh t \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.1}$$

Since $\sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}$-action preserves the degree, and monomials are orthogonal basis, we may use Taylor expansion to drop the factor $\exp\left(\pi(\tanh t)\underline{z}_1 \cdot \underline{z}_{n+1}\right)$ and obtain

$$\langle \omega(k' a_t k)\phi, \phi\rangle = (\cosh t)^{-n-1} \langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(k' b_t k)\phi, \phi\rangle \tag{6.2}$$

for any $k, k' \in K$.

Case (ii): We take

$$\phi(z) = \Delta_1^{\beta_1-\beta_2}\Delta_2^{\beta_2-\beta_3}\cdots\Delta_p^{\beta_p}\Delta_1'^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}\Delta_2'^{\alpha_2-\alpha_3}\cdots\Delta_{q-1}'^{\alpha_{q-1}} z_{n+1,p+1}^{\gamma}.$$

The argument is similar to above. We note that $z_{n+1,p+1}$ is the only variable of $\underline{z}_{n+1}$ that appears in $\omega(k)\phi$, while the first p rows of the block B in (5.4), in particular $\underline{z}_{1,p+1}$, do not show up. The same argument as above gives us

$$\langle \omega(k' a_t k)\phi, \phi\rangle = (\cosh t)^{-n-1} \langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(k' b_t^{-1} k)\phi, \phi\rangle \tag{6.3}$$

We may summarize our results as

Proposition 6.1. *Under the assumptions of Section 2, for a vector $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda^\vee,\Lambda'}$ of joint highest weight, $k, k' \in K$, one has*

$$\langle \omega(k' a_t k)\phi, \phi\rangle = (\cosh t)^{-n-1} \langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(k' b_t^{\pm 1} k)\phi, \phi\rangle,$$

where the $\pm$ sign depends on whether the first (or equivalently, the last) component of $\Lambda^\vee$ is negative or positive.

In particular, by the Harish-Chandra decomposition $g = h_z k = k_z a_t k_z^{-1} k$, one has

$$\langle \omega(g)\phi, \phi\rangle = (\cosh t)^{-n-1} \langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(k_z b_t^{\pm 1} k_z^{-1} k)\phi, \phi\rangle.$$

Define

$$b_z = k_z b_t k_z^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (1_n - zz^*)^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - z^* z)^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.4}$$

so that

$$\langle \omega(g)\phi, \phi\rangle = (\cosh t)^{-n-1} \langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(b_z^{\pm 1} k)\phi, \phi\rangle. \tag{6.5}$$

7. Zeta integrals

We are ready to compute the archimedean zeta integrals on $U(n,1)$ that involves oscillator representations and holomorphic discrete series, combining the results in the previous sections.

In terms of the Harish-Chandra decomposition, by (4.2) and (6.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G \langle \omega(g)\phi,\phi\rangle \cdot \psi_\pi(g)dg &= \int_C \int_K \langle \omega(h_z k)\phi,\phi\rangle \cdot \psi_\pi(h_z k) dk d^*z \\ &= \int_C \int_K \langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(b_z^{\pm 1}k)\phi,\phi\rangle \cdot \operatorname{tr}\, \sigma_\Lambda(\theta_z k)\det(1_n - zz^*)^{(n+1)/2} dk d^*z,\end{aligned}$$

noting that $\det(1_n - zz^*) = (\cosh t)^{-2}$. We shall follow the strategy in [G] to evaluate above integral, or more generally the integral

$$I_s^\pm = \int_C \int_K \langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(b_z^{\pm 1}k)\phi,\phi\rangle \cdot \operatorname{tr}\, \sigma_\Lambda(\theta_z k)\det(1_n - zz^*)^s dk d^*z \tag{7.1}$$

which converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} s \gg 0$. Here the $\pm$ sign is determined by $\Lambda^\vee$ as in Proposition 6.1, i.e. depends on whether we have Case (i) or (ii).

Our main result is the following

Theorem 7.1. *Under the assumptions and notations of Sections 2 and 3, for $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda^\vee,\Lambda'}$ and $\pi = \pi_\lambda$ one has the zeta integral*

Case (i):

$$\int_G \langle \omega(g)\phi,\phi\rangle \cdot \psi_\pi(g)dg = \frac{\pi^n}{\dim \sigma_\Lambda} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\alpha_i - i + n}\|\phi\|^2;$$

Case (ii):

$$\int_G \langle \omega(g)\phi,\phi\rangle \cdot \psi_\pi(g)dg = \frac{\pi^n}{\dim \sigma_\Lambda} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\gamma + i - p}\|\phi\|^2,$$

where $\dim \sigma_\Lambda$ is given by the well-known Weyl formula (7.2).

Proof. Since $\sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(b_z)^* = \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(b_z^*) = \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(b_z)$, $\sigma_\Lambda(\theta_z)^* = \sigma_\Lambda(\theta_z^*) = \sigma_\Lambda(\theta_z)$, we have

$$\langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(b_z^{\pm 1}k)\phi,\phi\rangle \cdot \operatorname{tr}\, \sigma_\Lambda(\theta_z k) = \sum_i \langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(k)\phi, \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(b_z^{\pm 1})\phi\rangle \cdot \langle \sigma_\Lambda(k)x_i, \sigma_\Lambda(\theta_z)x_i\rangle$$

where $\{x_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of σ_Λ. By Schur orthogonality relation, the integration over K leaves us

$$\begin{aligned} I_s^\pm &= \frac{1}{\dim \sigma_\Lambda} \int_C \sum_i \langle \phi, x_i\rangle \cdot \overline{\langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(b_z^{\pm 1})\phi, \sigma_\Lambda(\theta_z) x_i\rangle} \det(1_n - zz^*)^s d^*z \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim \sigma_\Lambda} \int_C \sum_i \langle \phi, x_i\rangle \cdot \overline{\langle \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(\theta_z^{-1} b_z^{\pm 1})\phi, x_i\rangle} \det(1_n - zz^*)^s d^*z \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim \sigma_\Lambda} \int_C \langle \phi, \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(\theta_z^{-1} b_z^{\pm 1})\phi\rangle \det(1_n - zz^*)^s d^*z \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim \sigma_\Lambda} \langle \phi, (\int_C \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(\theta_z^{-1} b_z^{\pm 1}) \det(1_n - zz^*)^s d^*z)\phi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we need to compute the endomorphism

$$T_s^\pm = \int_C \sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}(\theta_z^{-1} b_z^{\pm 1}) \det(1_n - zz^*)^s d^*z \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{C}}(\sigma_{\Lambda^\vee}).$$

We find that

$$\theta_z^{-1} b_z = \begin{pmatrix} (1_n - zz^*)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta_z^{-1} b_z^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - z^*z \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we decompose $\sigma_{\Lambda^\vee} \cong \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2$ as the outer tensor product of irreducibles σ_1 of $\widetilde{U}(n)$ and σ_2 of $\widetilde{U}(1)$, then

$$\begin{cases} T_s^+ = \displaystyle\int_C \sigma_1^{-1}(1_n - zz^*) \det(1_n - zz^*)^s d^*z \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{C}}(\sigma_1), \\ T_s^- = \displaystyle\int_C \sigma_2(1 - z^*z) \det(1_n - zz^*)^s d^*z \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{C}}(\sigma_2). \end{cases}$$

By the parametrization of $D_{n,1}$ in Section 3, a change of variables in the defining integral shows that T_s^+ commutes with $\sigma_1(k)$ for any $k \in \widetilde{U}(n)$, hence must be a scalar thanks to Schur's lemma. Similarly T_s^- is a scalar as well. In other words, we have

$$I_s^\pm = \frac{\overline{T_s^\pm}}{\dim \sigma_\Lambda} \|\phi\|^2 = \frac{T_s^\pm}{\dim \sigma_\Lambda} \|\phi\|^2,$$

noting that $T_s^\pm$ is real since the integrand is real. Recall the Weyl dimension formula

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \sigma_\Lambda = \dim \sigma_1 &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_c^+} \frac{\langle \Lambda + \rho_c, \alpha\rangle}{\langle \rho_c, \alpha\rangle} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_c^+} \frac{\langle \lambda + \rho - \rho_c, \alpha\rangle}{\langle \rho_c, \alpha\rangle} \\ &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_c^+} \frac{\langle \lambda, \alpha\rangle}{\langle \rho_c, \alpha\rangle} = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{j - i}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

It remains to find the scalar $T_s^\pm$, which is essentially a special case of the computation in [G]. However the second proposition in [G, §3] was not stated correctly, which caused

a mistake in the formulas of the main theorem therein. For reader's convenience a correct variant form is given in the Lemma 7.2 below.

Applying this lemma for the representations $\sigma_1 \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \sigma_2$ of $(U(n) \times U(1))^\sim$ respectively, we obtain

Case (i): $\Lambda^\vee = [(-\alpha_n, \dots, -\alpha_1) + \det^{(1-n)/2}] \otimes [-\gamma + \det^{-(1-n)/2}]$,

$$T_s^+ = S_{\sigma_1 \otimes 1, s} = \pi^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\alpha_i - i + s - (1-n)/2};$$

Case (ii): $\Lambda^\vee = [(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p, -\alpha_{q-1}, \dots, -\alpha_1) + \det^{(p-q)/2}] \otimes [\gamma + \det^{-(p-q)/2}]$,

$$T_s^- = S_{1 \otimes \sigma_2, s} = \pi^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\gamma - i + s - (p-q)/2}.$$

The theorem follows from specializing $s = (n+1)/2$. □

Lemma 7.2. [G] *Let $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2$ be an irreducible representation of $(U(p) \times U(q))^\sim$, where σ_1 and σ_2 have highest weights $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_p)$ and $(\iota_1, \dots, \iota_q)$ respectively. Define*

$$S_{\sigma,s} = \int_{D_{p,q}} \sigma_1^{-1}(1_p - zz^*) \otimes \sigma_2(1_q - z^*z) \det(1_p - zz^*)^s d^*z \in End_{\mathbf{C}}(\sigma)$$

for Re $s \gg 0$, where

$$D_{p,q} = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^{p \times q} : 1_p - zz^* \text{ is positive definite}\}, \quad d^*z = \frac{dz}{\det(1_p - zz^*)^{p+q}}.$$

Then $S_{\sigma,s}$ is a scalar and one has

(i) if $\sigma_2 = \det^\iota$ is one-dimensional, then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\sigma,s} &= \pi^{pq} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\Gamma(\iota - \kappa_i - (p+q-i) + s)}{\Gamma(\iota - \kappa_i - (p-i) + s)} \\ &= \pi^{pq} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{(\iota - \kappa_i - (p+q-i) + s) \cdots (\iota - \kappa_i - (p+1-i) + s)}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) if $\sigma_1 = \det^\kappa$ is one-dimensional, then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\sigma,s} &= \pi^{pq} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \frac{\Gamma(\iota_i - \kappa - (p+i-1) + s)}{\Gamma(\iota_i - \kappa - (i-1) + s)} \\ &= \pi^{pq} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{(\iota_i - \kappa - (p+i-1) + s) \cdots (\iota_i - \kappa - i + s)}; \end{aligned}$$

(iii) if σ is the lowest $\widetilde{K}$-type of an anti-holomorphic discrete series π of $\widetilde{U}(p,q)$, then under above measure the formal degree of π is given by

$$\frac{1}{d_\pi} = \frac{S_{\sigma,0}}{\dim \sigma}.$$

We remark that the formulation of [G] is in terms of holomorphic discrete series, and our reformulation here about anti-holomorphic case is just for convenience. Recall from [HLS] that

$$\int_G \langle \omega(g)\phi, \phi\rangle \cdot \psi_\pi(g) dg = \frac{c^2_{\psi,\pi}}{d_\pi}\|\phi\|^2,$$

where $c_{\psi,\pi}$ is the positive number such that

$$\|P_{\psi,\pi}(\phi)\| = c_{\psi,\pi}\|\phi\|$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda^\vee,\Lambda'}$ and $P_{\psi,\pi}$ the orthogonal projection from ω_ψ onto the closed subspace $\sigma_{\Lambda^\vee} \otimes \pi'$. We are interested in the explicit value of $c_{\psi,\pi}$. The formal degree d_π is given by (4.5), depending on the measure of G. Instead of specifying the explicit dependence, we may compare our zeta integral with the formal degree given by Lemma 7.2 (iii). This will enable us to find out $c_{\psi,\pi}$.

Corollary 7.3. *The explicit value of $c_{\psi,\pi}$ is given by*

Case (i):

$$c^2_{\psi,\pi} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_i - i + n - 1 - \gamma}{\alpha_i - i + n};$$

Case (ii):

$$c^2_{\psi,\pi} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma + i - \delta_i - 2p}{\gamma + i - p},$$

where $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p, -\alpha_{q-1}, \dots, -\alpha_1)$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 7.1 shows that

$$\frac{c^2_{\psi,\pi}}{d_\pi} = \frac{T^{\pm}_{(n+1)/2}}{\dim \sigma_\Lambda}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 7.2 we have

$$\frac{1}{d_\pi} = \frac{S_{\sigma_{\Lambda^\vee},0}}{\dim \sigma_\Lambda}.$$

Comparison of the last two equations yields

$$c^2_{\psi,\pi} = \frac{T^{\pm}_{(n+1)/2}}{S_{\sigma_{\Lambda^\vee},0}} = \frac{S_{\sigma_1\otimes 1,(n+1)/2}}{S_{\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2,0}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{S_{1\otimes\sigma_2,(n+1)/2}}{S_{\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2,0}}$$

in Case (i) or (ii) respectively. Plugging in the parameter $\Lambda^\vee$ gives the corollary. □

References

[F-J] M. Flensted-Jensen, *Discrete series for semisimple symmetric spaces*, Ann. Math. **111** (1980), 253–311.

[F] G.B. Folland, *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space*, Princeton University Press, 1989.

[G] P. Garrett, *Values of Archimedean zeta integrals for unitary groups,* Eisenstein series and applications, 125–148, Progr. Math., **258**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2008.

[H-C] Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups, III. The Maass-Selberg relations and the Plancherel formula*, Ann. of Math. **104** (1976), 117-201.

[H] M. Harris, *Cohomological automorphic forms on unitary groups, II: period relations and values of L-functions*, in Harmonic Analysis, Group Representations, Automorphic Forms and Invariant Theory, Lect. Notes Series Vol. **12**, Institute of Math. Sci., National Univ. of Singapore (2007), 89-150.

[HKS] M. Harris, S. Kudla and W.J. Sweet, *Theta dichotomy for unitary groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 941-1004.

[HLS] M. Harris, J.-S. Li and B. Sun, *Theta correspondence for close unitary groups*, Arithmetic geometry and automorphic forms, 265-307, Adv. Lect. Math. **19**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[Ho] R. Howe, *Transcending classical invariant theory*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), no. 3, 535–552.

[L1] J.-S. Li, *Theta lifting for unitary representations with nonzero cohomology*, Duke. Math. J. **61** (1990), no. 3, 913-937.

[L2] J.-S. Li, *Nonvanishing theorems for the cohomology of certain arithmetic quotients*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **428** (1992), 177-217.

[Lin] B. Lin, *On the archimedean local zeta integrals and L-factors*, HKUST Thesis (2011).

[Liu] D. Liu, *Archimedean zeta integrals on $U(2,1)$*, J. Funct. Anal. **269** (2015), 229–270.

[P] A. Paul, *Howe correspondence for real unitary groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **159** (1998), 384-431.

School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, P.R. China
E-mail address: malinbc@scu.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P.R. China
E-mail address: maliu@zju.edu.cn